

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,994	12/27/2000	Douglas B. Quine	F-240	6431
919 7590 03/19/2007 PITNEY BOWES INC. 35 WATERVIEW DRIVE P.O. BOX 3000 MSC 26-22 SHELTON, CT 06484-8000			EXAMINER	
			GIBBS, HEATHER D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/748,994	QUINE, DOUGLAS B.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Heather D. Gibbs	2625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,5,7,10-23 and 25 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,5,7,10-23,25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment filed on 02/12/2007 has been entered and made of record.
- 2 Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. The indicated allowability of claims 1,5,7,10-23,25 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Reifman et al (US 5,438,433). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1,5,7,10-23,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reifman et al (US 6,438,433).

For claim 1, Reifman teaches A method of authenticating information communicated between a first communication device and a second communication device via a communications network, comprising the steps of: a. receiving input data and generating facsimile information in a first format by said first communication device

Art Unit: 2625

from said input data; b. processing said input data to compute an encrypted checksum; c. convolving said facsimile information with said encrypted checksum data to produce convolved data (Col 23 Line 68-Col 24 Line 16); d. decrypting, at said second communication device, said encrypted checksum; e. computing a checksum of said input data received at said second communications device; and f. alerting a recipient at said second communication device in the event of a mismatch between said checksum data computed in step (e) and said decrypted checksum data in step (d) by clearly marking the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 48 Lines 48-59).

Regarding claim 5, Reifman teaches the method of claim 4, wherein a database system is communicatively coupled to said second communication device (Col 51 Lines 3-6).

Considering claim 7, Reifman teaches The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of: configuring an e-mail system for receiving and displaying an alert message to said recipient along with said received input data (Col 61 Lines 7-10; EFAX).

For claim 10, Reifman discloses wherein the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes a printing a clear mark across a print out of the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 48 Lines 35-37,52-56 and Col 49 Lines 12-18; user has option to choose "print on the IFAX").

For claim 11, Reifman teaches wherein the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes displaying

Art Unit: 2625

a clear mark across a computer display of the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 47 Lines 64-65 and Col 48 Lines 52-56).

For claim 12, Reifman teaches wherein the convolved data is transmitted to the second facsimile communication devices as an e-mail attachment (Col 48 Lines 60-64).

For claim 13, Reifman discloses sending the convolved data to a third facsimile communication device (Col 1 Lines 44-54; Col 3 Lines 41-51).

Considering claim 14, Reifman discloses receiving a user name and password from a user with the second facsimile communication device (Col 10 Lines 33-39).

Considering claim 15, Reifman discloses a method of authenticating a facsimile document communicated between a first facsimile communication device and a second facsimile communication device via a communications network, comprising the steps of: receiving at the second facsimile communications device via a communication network, comprising the steps of: receiving at the second facsimile communications device transmitted data including a digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data associated with the digital representation of the entire facsimile document in a first format sent by said first communication device (Col 23 Line 68- Col 24 Line 16); processing said transmitted data at said second communication device, to extract a digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data; decrypting, at said second communication device, said encrypted authentication data; computing, at said second communication device, a comparison version of the authentication data using the digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data using the digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication

Art Unit: 2625

data; and alerting a recipient at said second communication device in the event of a mismatch between said authentication data and said comparison version of the authentication data by clearly marking the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 48 Lines 48-59).

For claim 16, Reifman teaches the method of claim 4, wherein a database system is communicatively coupled to said second communication device (Col 51 Lines 3-6).

Regarding claim 17, Reifman teaches further comprising the step of: configuring an e-mail system for receiving and displaying an alert message to said recipient along with said received input data (Col 61 Lines 7-10; EFAX).

Considering claim 18, Reifman discloses wherein the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes a printing a clear mark across a print out of the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 48 Lines 35-37,52-56 and Col 49 Lines 12-18; user has option to choose "print on the IFAX").

For claim 19, Reifman teaches wherein the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes displaying a clear mark across a computer display of the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 47 Lines 64-65 and Col 48 Lines 52-56).

Regarding claim 20, Reifman teaches wherein the convolved data is transmitted to the second facsimile communication devices as an e-mail attachment (Col 48 Lines 60-64).

Art Unit: 2625

Considering claim 21, Reifman discloses sending the convolved data to a third facsimile communication device (Col 1 Lines 44-54; Col 3 Lines 41-51).

For claim 22, Reifman discloses receiving a user name and password from a user with the second facsimile communication device (Col 10 Lines 33-39).

For claim 23, Reifman teaches a method of authenticating a facsimile document communicated between a first facsimile communication device and a second facsimile communication device via a communications network, comprising the steps of: receiving at the second facsimile communications device transmitted data including a digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data associated with the facsimile document and consisting of a single encrypted checksum of the entire facsimile document in a first format sent by said first communication device; processing said transmitted data, at said second communication device, to extract a digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data(Col 23 Line 68- Col 24 Line 16); decrypting, at said second communication device, said encrypted authentication data; computing, at said second communication device, a comparison version of the authentication data using the a digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data; and alerting a recipient at said second communication device in the event of a mismatch between said authentication data and said comparison version of the authentication data (Col 48 Lines 48-59), wherein the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes a clear mark across a print out of the received input data indication a

Art Unit: 2625

tamper condition(Col 48 Lines 35-37,52-56 and Col 49 Lines 12-18; user has option to choose "print on the IFAX").

Regarding claim 25, Reifman discloses the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes displaying a clear mark across a computer display of the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 47 Lines 64-65 and Col 48 Lines 52-56).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather D. Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-7404. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu 8AM-7PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Aung S. Moe can be reached on 571-272-7314. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heather D Gibbs

Examiner

Art Unit 2625

hdg

AUNG S. MOE SUPERWEORY PATENT EXAMINER

3/16/07